

February 7, 1975

the car must be 30 years old before it could be so classified. I had a bill prepared to do the same thing on the same subject. We thought a good time to break off would be to have cars manufactured before the second World War. There was a period there that there were no cars manufactured, or at least they weren't sold on the market. I would oppose that part of the amendment. I think it should be at least 30 years instead of the 25. I can relate . . . I have two farm trucks, that under the present statute, I could license them once. I wouldn't need to pay the tax and I could haul my grain to town. I'm not going to do that, but under the present law I think I can do it and be legal. Now that would be taking advantage and not paying my fair share of taxes for the support of roads. I would hope that the committee would turn down the first part of this amendment and go back to the 30 years. Mr. Clerk I would like to . . . will I need to . . . let's turn down that first part of the amendment. Instead of adopting the first part of the amendment, I think we should reject that. The other part of raising the fee to \$50, I'm opposed to that.

PRESIDENT: Senator the Chair is not clear. Are you making an amendment to the amendment?

SENATOR STULL: No. I think we should reject the first part of the amendment. Thank you.

CLERK: Strike 30 and insert 25. You move to reject.

PRESIDENT: He is . . . there is a motion then? Would you state the amendment to the amendment to the Chair.

CLERK: The motion would be, Mr. President, to strike from the committee amendment that portion as follows: page 3, line 14, strike 30 and insert 25.

PRESIDENT: Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: Mr. President, I've got a question of Senator Kremer. One or two maybe. Senator Kremer, again I'm going to bring out the committee report and hope you can answer a couple questions on it. It said that four individuals, representing themselves, testified in favor of it. It says, in opposition six people, primarily owners of such vehicles. Were these local people? Lincoln people? Were these outstate? That's the first part of the question. The second part of the question is did they testify with relationship to the amendment that you proposed, or did they testify for the bill as it was properly drafted?

SENATOR KREMER: In answer to your first question, there were some people from Omaha, most of them were from Lincoln and the area nearby. Secondly, the question was, did they testify relative to the amendment or to the bill. They testified in reference to the bill. The amendments were made and proposed and passed by the committee.

SENATOR BARNETT: The committee you say?

SENATOR KREMER: Yes.

SENATOR BARNETT: Thank you.